## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street

#### <<ENFORCEMENT CONFIDENTIAL>>

## **MEMORANDUM**

DATE:

3/26/92

SUBJECT:

Preliminary Assessment Review

Facility: Evergreen Oil, Inc.

PA date: 3/26/92

FROM:

Peter M. Geiger, Ecology and Environment, Inc.

TO:

Karen Schwinn

Chief, Waste Compliance Branch

THROUGH:

Nancy Lindsay, Chief, Corrective Action Section

## I. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Facility Name: Evergreen Oil, Inc.

Address:

6880 Smith Avenue

Newark, California 94560

EPA ID Number: CAD980887418

DTSC Region (if CA): 2 (Berkeley)

RWQCB Region (if CA): 2 (SF Bay)

A. Brief Description of Facility Operations and Hazardous Waste Management:

The Evergreen Oil, Inc. (Evergreen Oil) facility receives, stores, and processes used lubricating oils. Evergreen also is permitted to accept waste ethylene glycol and transfer it by tanker truck to an off-site treatment facility; however, they are not currently handling waste ethylene glycol.

The Evergreen complex consists of one main building, several mobile temporary offices and a large processing area. The processing area includes a bobtail and semi-trailer tanker truck off-loading area, an extensive tank farm area, a waste oil recycling refinery, and a small bermed generator drum storage area which contains both hazardous wastes and chemical product material.

## B. SWMU Release Inventory:

The following is a table of Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) releases and release potential to the various media. Releases are described with either a "D" for Documented, a "V" for Visual, or a "P" for Potential. Potential releases are further characterized as "H," "M," or "L" for High, Medium and Low. RCRA-regulated units are starred with an asterisk.

SWMU #	Name	Soil	G₩	SW	Air
1	Truck off-loading area	PL	PL	PL	PH
2	Tank Farm	PL	PL	PL	PH
3	Refinery	PL	PL	PL	PH
4	Drum Storage Area	PL	PL	PL	PH
5	Oil/Water Separators	PL	PL	D	PL
6	Areas of Concern	PL	PL	PH	PH

Stormwater runoff was regularly discharged from an oil water separator into the adjacent flood control channel for a period of 2 years. This flood control channel empties into the San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge.

The light components of the used oil are separated and are burned onsite in a waste-to-energy unit. This may be an unpermitted treatment or disposal practice.

Used lubricating oils that are refined on site are not managed as RCRA hazardous wastes. The used lubricating oils may have hazardous constituents that would cause them to be hazardous wastes; however, this determination cannot be made because the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) analysis has not been performed for the used oils. EPA presently does not perceive Evergreen as taking in or treating RCRA regulated wastes.

## II. ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE:

Α.	Hazardous	Waste	Exposure	and	Constituent	Information
	Instruction	ons:				

- 1. Designate as appropriate: D documented evidence (e.g. analytical data), V visual evidence (e.g. observed spills, stained soils, etc.), P -potential for release (e.g. past waste management practices suggest probable releases, known soil contamination has probably caused groundwater contamination, etc.). Specify documentation, who saw visual evidence, and/or rationale for potential release, if known.
- 2. Provide released or potentially released listed waste or constituent information to each appropriate media. Include volume of waste released, if known, toxicity (using toxicity table), and physical state of contaminants (e.g. gas, liquid, sludge, stable solid).
- 3. Indicate whether release has already been remediated.
- 4. Stabilization is appropriate if:
  - a. there are actual or imminent exposure threats to humans or ecosystems at levels of concern;
  - b. inexpeditiously addressed releases will result in further significant contamination; or
  - c. site characteristics suggest that the site may be amenable to control or abatement of imminent threats.

N/A	<pre>Imminent danger to public health/environment. Immediate action required; explain:</pre>								
_N/A	Stabilization measures appropriate; explain:								
_PL	Release to soil. D V P								
_PL	Release to groundwater.	D	V	P					
D	Release to surface water.	D	V	P					
	During a storm event an oil water se discharged approximately 20 gallons of into the flood control channel.	•		-					
D	Release to air.	D	V	P					
	An asphalt tank exploded in 1986. complaints by nearby residents of odo		e have	been					
X	High Potential for Migration (media: air)								

	X	Sensitive environmental receptors onsite or within 3 miles (endangered species, wetlands, etc.) Explain: California clapper rails, California brown pelicans, and salt marsh harvest mouse are in or near Mowry Slough. Mowry Slough is part of S.F. Bay National Wildlife Refuge.
	No	No releases
,	Extent of	Site Characterization (check one):
	<u>X</u> min	imalextensiveunknown
В.		Considerations: (D - Documented, P - Potential) s section if there is no potential or documented release.
	speci suspe conta migra	oundwater (GW): If potential exposure is a concern, please fy whether release is "highly suspected" (HS). A highly cted release to groundwater means that there is known soil mination from a large volume of mobile constituents with high tion potential where there is no known aquiclude between minated soil and ground water.
	No_	_ Current GW drinking water source impacted
	No	_ Sole Source (Class I) aquifer impacted
	No	_ Impacts on potable water aquifer but not currently used as drinking water
	Depth	to GW 60 feet GW flow direction South
		ed water was encountered on site at a depth of 6 to 10 feet. is not a true aquifer.
	Direc	tion/Distance to nearby wells <u>0.75 mile/northeast</u>
	Popul	ation Served <u>Unknown</u>
		not known if these wells are currently used for drinking . As of 1982 these wells were not listed as abandoned.
	2. <u>Su</u>	rface Water (SW):
	N/A	_ SW drinking water source impacted
	appro	tion/Distance to SW drainage channel across street (SE) flows ximately 2 miles southeast to Mowry Slough which meanders ximately 5 miles south then west to SF Bay.

<u> </u>	milles	contamination	environment relate	a to Sw
Мо	owry slo	ough is within San Fran	cisco Bay NWR.	
1	N/A	Distance to drinking we contact point No DW undoubt that swimming et	se. Boat landing a	
Ne	et Preci	ipitation <u>-26 in.</u>	24 hour rain	fall 2.5-3.0 in.
Pe	ermitted	d outfall <u>No</u>	Permit Violatio	ns <u>N/A</u>
_1_	No F	lood prone area	<u>No</u> 100-yr f	lood plain
	X Fi	ishing, recreation wate	r source impacted	
	No I	rigation, livestock wa	ter source impacte	d
consider be consi	red in t idered b	near coastal waters the initial staff prior by management with the contamination affects	itizing process. T recommendation.	he information will
    	Ba Ka	ora Harbor (Guam) abelthaup Island Bays ( aiaka Bay (Hawaii) ailua Bay (Hawaii) ona Coast (Hawaii) orro Bay (California) ago Pago Harbor (Americ earl Harbor (Hawaii) an Diego Bay (Californi	an Samoa) a)	
Ch	neck if	contamination affects	either of these Es	tuary projects:
_		nn Francisco Bay/Delta nta Monica Bay		
3.	Air:			
_N		owing dust; nearby poportheast	ulation approximat	ely 1,600 feet
Y	des Ai	r permits <u>ïes</u>	Permit violations	

Yes	S Can contaminants migrate into air?
118	,919 Target Population < 4 miles (# and distance)
4. <u>(</u>	On site:
Acce	essibility: inaccessible limited accessX poor security
No	Observed surface soil contamination
III. SITE EN	VIRONMENTAL PRIORITY
Final prio	ons: Assign priority based on technical considerations only. Ority should be briefly explained in terms of potential exposure to lth and the environment based on the technical considerations in
F	High Priority
h e s t	Known or highly suspected release which has resulted in, or which has high potential for, exposure to human population and sensitive environments (other than near coastal waters and estuary project sites), in the short term ( < 10 years). Choose this priority if there is known or highly suspected contamination to a sole source equifer currently being used.
XM	Medium Priority
h	Known or highly suspected release with potential for exposure to numan health and sensitive environments (other than near coastal vaters and estuary project sites) in the long term ( > 10 years).
	Low Priority
	Known or highly suspected release, but unlikely adverse effect on numan health and the environment.
N	Jo Further Action
	No evidence of a release that could adversely affect human health and the environment.
Comments/R	Rationale to support priority:

## IV. RCRA PERMITTING STATUS

A. Contact Person(s):

#	Name	Contact Date	Phone	Agency
1				EPA-Permits
2	Daisy Lee	11/12/91	510/540-3933	DTSC
3				RWQCB
4	Gary Zanardi	1/30/92	510/790-0100	USD

B. Current Status (mark all applicable): Instructions: For source, indicate file document or numeral for contact person listed above. X Operating RCRA TSDF; Source: RCRA Database 10/16/91 N/A Not Operating RCRA TSDF; Source: N/A Bankrupt Facility; Source: N/A Non-Notifying TSDF - should be a RCRA TSDF but didn't submit a Part A permit application. Source: X Generator only - never operated as a TSDF. Source: EPA Inspection 7/11/91 X Permitted TSDF or Seeking Permit; Source: DTSC, Daisy Lee 11/12/91 Date Permitted: 10/11/85 Agency: DHS Part B Permit Application Submitted? Yes Permit Application Review Lead (circle) OTHER (specify) STATE Corrective Action in (draft) Permit? No Expected Permit Issuance Date: Permit Expiration Date: 10/11/90 Permit Renewal Application Submitted Yes

(Expected) Renewed Permit Issuance Date:

Renewed Permit Expiration Date:

N/A Closed or Closing Facility; Source:

Closure Plan Submittal (Expected) Date:

Closure Plan Review Lead (circle all applicable): EPA STATE OTHER (specify)

Closure Plan Approved? Y N Date:

Closure Certification Received? Y N

Clean Closed? Y N

Closure Certification accepted by EPA/DTSC? Y  $\,$  N

N/A Post-Closure permit; Source:

Post-Closure Permit Application Submitted? Y N

Post-Closure Permit Application Review Lead EPA STATE OTHER (specify)

Corrective Action in (draft) Permit Y N NA

(Expected) Post-Closure Permit Issuance Date:

N/A Combination: some units closing, some seeking
permit (i.e. partial closure). Source:
Explain:

N/A Part A Withdrawal Candidate; Source: Explain:

N/A RWQCB Waste Discharge Requirements requiring
investigation and/or remediation in Effect (CA only)

Other Comments:

EPA does not consider Evergreen a TSD facility while DHS does. TCLP of used oil has not been performed by Evergreen.

# V. OTHER REGULATORY ACTIVITIES RELEVANT TO CORRECTIVE ACTION

A. Contact Person(s):

#	Name	Contact Date	Phone	Agency			
6	Pat Kuefler	3/4/92	415/744-2144	EPA-Enforc			
7				EPA CERCLA			
8				DTSC-Enforc			
9	Gary Zanardi	1/30/92	510/790-0100	USD			
10	)			RWQCB			
11							
12	2.						
	violations.	ark all applicable;		nent outstanding			
		ent Action with Activi	ties Relevant to				
	State Enforcement Action with Activities Relevant to Corrective Action; Source: Date: Explain:						
	<pre>Regional Water Board Order or WDR Requiring Corrective Action (CA only); Source: Date: Explain:</pre>						
	Relevant to C Date: 10/1/9	Enforcement Action wi Corrective Action; Sou 22 Ministrative Order #91	irce: Gary Zanaro	di, USD, 1/30/92			
VI.	(based on state act Mark one: High	OF INVOLVEMENT IN CItions, level of state  X Medium	staff person's ov				
	Rationale:						

# VII. FACILITY WILLINGNESS/ABILITY TO PERFORM CORRECTIVE ACTION

X	_ Facility	is	cool	perative				
	_ Facility	is	unc	ooperative;	Explair	ı:		
	_ Unknown							
	Facility Explain:	тау	be	financially	unable	to	complete	work
0ther	Comments:							

Facility would like to get Interim Status. Facility would like to see waste oil regulated as a RCRA hazardous waste.

cc: Nancy Nadel, EPI Coordinator, H-4-4